

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,169	09/10/2003	Lin Wang	CL1518 US CNT	3752
23906	7590 03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	NT DE NEMOURS AI	RABAGO, ROBERTO		
	FENT RECORDS CENT IILL PLAZA 25/1128	ER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4417 LANC	ASTER PIKE		1713	
WILMING1	ON, DE 19805			_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				w		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		10/659,169	WANG ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roberto Rábago	1713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the communication approximation approxima	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	December 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>26-28,30-33 and 35-39</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>26-28,30-33 and 35-39</u> is/are reject	ed.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the certifie	nts have been received. nts have been received in A fority documents have been	Application No			
• •	application from the International Bure	,	Construction of			
* {	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	received.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infon	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 or No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,169

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 26, 28, 30, 35, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chien (US 6,177,377).

Examples 55 and 56 disclose making a homopolymer/copolymer blend using two metallocene catalysts, including all claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 27, 31, 32, 33, 37, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chien (US 6,177,377).

Regarding claims 27 and 39, Examples 55 and 56 disclose making a homopolymer/copolymer blend using two metallocene catalysts and either butene or hexene as comonomer, but do not disclose butene and hexene together in one example, nor do they include an odd monomer such as propylene. However, the reference states at col. 11, lines 12-20, that the method of using two metallocenes to make "one-pot" blends of ethylene homopolymer and copolymer using two metallocenes is useful for the making of terpolymers. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make terpolymers from at least the simplest comonomers recommended and exemplified, such as ethylene-propylene-butene, ethylene-propylene-butene, ethylene-butene-butene-octene.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Regarding claims 31-33, 37 and 38, the reference is primarily directed toward the description of using specific metallocene catalyst combinations to provide chemical control over the polymerization process, for the purpose of making effective blends of dissimilar polymers. The reference has not provided supplemental description of the various well-established modes of operating a polymerization reactor. However, the use of gas-phase conditions and catalysts disposed on supports are so conventional in the art that no supplemental reference need be cited. Those of ordinary skill in the art are well aware of the various benefits of using supported catalyst in the gas phase, such as improved catalytic efficiency, improved polymer particle morphology and bulk density, etc., and would be motivated to use these alternative embodiments to capture such benefits.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR March 2, 2006